

City of Sebastopol City Council Regular Meeting  
Minutes of  
Meeting of October 3, 2017

*As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of October 17, 2017*

6:00 pm - Convene Regular City Council Meeting, Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA 95472

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

Please note that minutes are not verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting.

Notice: All resolutions and ordinances introduced and/or adopted under this agenda are waived of all reading of entire resolution(s) and ordinance(s).

The Sebastopol City Council welcomes you to its meetings that are generally scheduled for the 1st and 3rd Tuesday of every month. Your interest and participation are encouraged and appreciated.

SB 751 Legislative bodies of local agencies must publicly report: (1) any action taken and (2) the vote or abstention on each action taken by each member present for the action at a meeting.

A notice of the meeting was posted by the City Clerk on September 26, 2017.

6:00 pm Convene Regular City Council Meeting, Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA 95472

---

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Glass called the meeting to order at 6:05 pm.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Glass  
Vice Mayor Slayter  
Councilmember Carnacchi  
Councilmember Gurney  
Councilmember Hinton

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin  
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley  
Engineering Manager Henry Mikus  
Planning Director Kenyon Webster  
Police Chief Jeff Weaver  
Public Works Superintendent Dante Del Prete

SALUTE TO THE FLAG: Mayor Glass led the salute to the flag.

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Discussed convening this meeting in honor of someone who passed away recently from this community
- Discussed the situation in Las Vegas
- Discussed situation in Puerto Rico
- Think of fellow citizens of Sebastopol, California, the United States and the world
- Think of everyone who we are holding in our hearts

**PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:**

The following were presented:

- Proclamation - October 15 to 21, 2017 as Freedom from Workplace Bullies Week
- Proclamation - October as Domestic Violence Awareness Month

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- Discussed proclamation and purple ribbon as being hollow
  - Discussed the death of her child
  - Discussed the death of Andy Lopez
  - Discussed millions of dollars being spent on corrupt behavior
  - Discussed ordinances and policies
  - Discussed obstructing justice
  - Discussed being a rape victim
  - Discussed not lying about this
  - Want policies changed and reformed
  - Discussed victims of sexual assault
  - Discussed having right to every manner of lie detection available for victims
  - Rape is a hard thing to prove
  - Discussed her daughter's death
  - Proclamation is not enough
  - Want meetings and reforms
- 
- Proclamation – October as Chamber Awareness Month
  - Introduction of Ukrainian Open World Delegates and Proclamation Welcoming Open World Delegates

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** (This is an opportunity for the public to address the City Council on items that are not listed on the agenda. This time is set aside to receive comments from the public regarding matters of general interest not on the agenda, but related to City Council business. Pursuant to the Brown Act, however, the City Council cannot consider any issues or take action on any requests during this comment period. Speakers are allowed to speak for a maximum of three minutes so that all speakers have an opportunity to address the City Council. The Mayor has the authority to limit the time allowed for speaker is dependent on the number of speakers in attendance. It is the goal of the Council to conclude the public comments portion of the agenda within 20 minutes. If the public comment period exceeds twenty minutes, the presiding officer, typically the Mayor, reserves the right to reduce the time per speaker or carry over public comments to after all business items are completed.)

ila Benavidez- Heaster commented as follows:

- Discussed pain of losing a child and using a child violently
- Believes Chief Weaver has done everything he can and Councilmember Gurney has done everything she can
- Hope to get the hate resolved
- Stated she believes this issue is being addressed
- Losing a child is one of the most horrific things to endure
- Compelling when it is violent
- Discussed that she would love to find a way for those who are out there listening to the streaming that they can find a way to get their voices in
- Urged the City to continue to on that
- Stated she is the only member in the audience tonight
- Find a way to get the other voices included
- Thanked everyone for listening to the pain
- Thanked the Mayor

Alan Horn commented as follows:

- Member of EMF network
- Discussed SB 649 - Sits on desk of Governor
- Supports veto of SB 649
- Thanked Sebastopol and County for opposition to this bill
- Discussed the bill and locations of cell towers
- No safety oversights
- City has no recourse to move a tower
- Discussed effects of radiation
- Children are vulnerable
- Radiation harms nature, birds and bees
- Discussed groups that oppose SB 649
- Call 916 445 2841 – urge Governor Brown to oppose 649

Larry Bolinski, 699 Gravenstien Highway North, commented as follows:

- Consider feasibility of ordinance to ban all fossil fuel powered landscaping equipment within the City limits of Sebastopol
- Discussed personal experience with landscaping company
- Discussed emission of gas engines contributing to climate change
- Discussed these being inconsistent with the City that the City allows these wasteful uses of chemicals to go on
- Shows lack of respect for human dignity to inflict noise and dust on someone
- Lives less than a block from main road
- Diagnosed with asthma
- Considering legal repercussions for an ordinance

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- Concurs with Alan Horn
- Not allow anyone to have power to go to war
- Discussed the body count of when she was here last
- Talked about the members of the military lives that have been lost

- Discussed North Korea
- Asia crisis
- Discussed Trump declaring war
- Read a prepared statement
- Compel Congress to stop this madness
- Discussed supporting the troops
- Discussed unconstitutional wars
- Discussed funding and federal grants
- Discussed sanctuary status
- Cannot do basic operations without federal assistance
- Get united or go to divorce court and divide up
- System of unconstitutional crisis
- Need constitutional solution

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY MAYOR/CITY COUNCILMEMBERS FOR ITEMS ON THE AGENDA  
(This is the time for the Mayor or City Councilmembers to indicate any statements of conflicts of interests for any item listed on this agenda). *There were none.*

Consent calendar items are routine matters or matters which have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

Councilmember Hinton requested removal of Item Number(s) 3 from the consent calendar.  
Councilmember Carnacchi requested removal of Item Number (2) from the consent calendar.

Councilmember Gurney moved and Councilmember Slayter seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item(s) Number(s) 1, 4, 5, and 6.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Slayter and Mayor Glass  
Noes: None  
Absent: None  
Abstain: None

**CONSENT CALENDAR:**

1. Approval of Minutes of September 19, 2017 City Council Meeting Minutes (City Administration)  
City Council Action: Approved Minutes of September 19, 2017 City Council Meeting Minutes  
Minute Order Number: 2017-186
4. Approval of Acceptance of Bids for Contract Number 2017-05, Sewer and Water Pipeline Replacement and Repairs (Engineering Manager)  
City Council Action: Approval of Acceptance of Bids for Contract Number 2017-05, Sewer and Water Pipeline Replacement and Repairs  
Minute Order Number: 2017-189
5. Approval of Notice of Completion, Contract 2016-12, Ives Park and Pool ADA Transition and Expansion – Doors and Signs. (Engineering Manager)

City Council Action: Approved of Notice of Completion, Contract 2016-12, Ives Park and Pool ADA Transition and Expansion – Doors and Signs

Minute Order Number: 2017-190

6. Approval of Authorization for Requests for Bids for Contract Number 2017-08, ADA Transition Program for the Ives Pool Facility Bath House Renovation and Expansion (Engineering Manager)

City Council Action: Approved Authorization for Requests for Bids for Contract Number 2017-08, ADA Transition Program for the Ives Pool Facility Bath House Renovation and Expansion

Minute Order Number: 2017-191

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATION: NONE

PUBLIC HEARING(s): NONE

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

7. Consideration of Alternate Safety Enhancement Measures for the Ragle Road/Bodega Avenue Intersection (Engineering Manager)

Engineering Manager Mikus presented the staff report recommending the City Council consider Alternate Safety Enhancement Measures for the Ragle Road/Bodega Avenue Intersection. Steve Weinberger, W Trans, City Traffic Consultant, was in attendance.

Mayor Glass asked for questions of staff.

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed the traffic signals
- Realize those are in our CIP with some unknown year and some unknown monies
- Questioned if this intersection was recently part of warrant study that was focused on Nelson or other traffic data that supports four way or other alternates

Manager Mikus commented that staff can provide a copy of that traffic study to the Council.

Vice Mayor Slayter questioned if that traffic study warranted a traffic signal at that intersection.

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Report warranted a traffic signal at that location
- Discussed other warrants that are reviewed
- Discussed peak hour warrants
- Met the peak hours there

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Clarified that this intersection is under control of Sebastopol
- Clarified the City does not need any approvals from Caltrans

Manager Mikus stated that is correct.

Vice Mayor Slayter questioned the current cost of a traffic signal.

Manager Mikus commented that a few years ago it was \$300,000 and would be more now.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Stated this is not Bodega Highway but Bodega Avenue
- People think this section is Highway 12
- Questioned what is a valid trial period is for a four way stop to understand if it is being effective
- Anticipate people going through stop sign
- What is a trial period

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Stated this could be treated as a trial period
- Discussed that there is a lot of caveat
- If were implemented, additional warning needs to be provided in both directions
- Stated it is a device that is easily installed and easily taken down
- Suggested three to six months for a trial period

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Questioned if this is step one and that step two would be a full traffic light
- Stated she wanted to understand the time line
- Discussed potential need to reprioritize budget for stop light
- Trial period to analyze a reduced speed
- Sense of timing for that activity

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Discussed sequencing of events
- Suggest to leave the speed limits the way they are now
- Police can radar enforce with them
- Install all way stop with appropriate warning systems
- After three months resurvey speeds in appropriate locations
- Not survey speeds within close proximity of stop locations

Councilmember Gurney requested clarification of the comments in the report regarding concerns with noise, pollution, etc.

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Discussed cars stopping, waiting at stop sign and then accelerating
- Could be robust engines for noise
- Discussed emissions from cars

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Stated that for a 4 way stop sign and stop light, the neighbors need to be aware that this is creating a different type of noise and car pollution.
- Questioned what is a time frame for further study
- Questioned when would this come back

Manager Mikus commented it would depend on the Council's decision as to when they would want this item to return.

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed the City moving forward with the Hawk at Nelson and a full signal proposed here in the future
- Discussed considering moving the Hawk here
- Questioned what is the difference
- Voiced concern with a traffic signal here and a Hawk just down the road
- Questioned what is the difference in traffic

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Discussed the comparisons of the two locations
- Hawk device is specifically to serve pedestrians crossing the street
- Traffic signal serves that as well as serving traffic
- Ragle vs Nelson – traffic volumes at Nelson do not warrant a traffic signal and never will
- Ragle – traffic above first threshold to warrant a traffic signal
- Hawk warrants were marginal because pedestrian volume was low
- Not have side street traffic volume like Ragle

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Discussed traffic signal – questioned if the Ragle Road is defacto by pass for part of the traffic volume there
- Stated it is a way to get from West County to Mill Station
- Stated that is contributing to traffic volume and might that get worse if the County improves the last section of that road
- Ragle Road is narrow at the County section
- If they improve that that might make situation the situation there have more traffic

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Ragle to Mill Station is sub regional route
- Nelson is local streets
- Ragle route does have potential for increasing volume
- Discussed what makes routes more attractive

Mayor Glass questioned if the Police Department would like to weigh in with their comments.

Chief Weaver commented as follows:

- Appreciate staff report
- During certain hours there will be substantial queuing
- Discussed the Police Department speed survey
- Discussed 500 vehicles going in that one direction in one-hour period of time
- If they have to stop, would back up substantially to the west
- Fear some people would get wise to that and go on Valley View bypassing cars queued
- Could be some increased by pass traffic up Valley View

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Discussed the queuing of cars
- Questioned the need for further information, further study or further thought or analysis for things like hot days such as cars going to beach and coming back

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Stated those points are concerns and definite possibilities
- Have evaluated operation of the intersection with all way stop
- Limit capacity at intersection with all way stop
- Discussed volumes now
- Vary at times of years
- Typical school day in spring – evaluated those with all way stops
- Discussed delays and ques during peak (11 vehicles)
- Not evaluated peak summer conditions
- Guarantee they would be longer

Manager Mikus commented that there is a similar situation in Cotati that has two four way stops close together along old Redwood Highway near City center park.

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed topic of queuing and wait times
- Any way to predict during peak time the traffic back up at Ragle intersection – would that have a beneficial effect on traffic as it moves closer to down town
- Have we displaced the traffic to Main Street
- Have we made conditions in town better

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Westbound – heading to coast – that direction would not change in town
- Get additional delay getting out of town
- Getting into town different story
- Traffic would be metered
- Transferring que to different location
- Should be decrease in queuing in town
- Hard to say
- Not know what other traffic will do with that
- Bodega volume will decrease as go towards Ragle

Councilmember Gurney commented If the City is looking at four ways stop as either fix all or interim fix all, would staff consider some of the suggestions heard from neighbors to bring the stop sign out closer to intersection to narrow crosswalk and questioned how permanent those improvements would coordinate with a later stop light.

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Can go two different ways
- Be experimental or do this with forethought for what will happen in the future
- Create those improvements now for the future



- Doing some of that already (median and RRFB device)
- Can put all way stop in to a more geometric situation that shrinks the intersection

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed speed heading west from Pleasant Hill
- Coming in from west 40 miles per hour
- 40 miles per hour to stop in both directions
- No way to reduce that speed with the upcoming stop sign

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Speed issue from a police enforcement and design aspect is independent to intersection operations
- Discussed where there are areas where it is 55 miles per hour to a stop
- Have to leave the speed limits the way they are now for police enforcement
- Do survey later
- Adjust speed accordingly to have police department has radar enforcement

Mayor Glass opened for public comment.

Jack Piccinini commented as follows:

- Two-part issue
- Pedestrian and driver/safety issue
- Put self at greater risk
- Great example on Highway 12
- See accidents on daily basis
- Do not support four ways stop sign not even on temporary basis
- Not need a study
- High thoroughfare
- Stop sign problematic
- Improve public safety
- Improve driver and public safety
- Include pedestrian safety
- Maximize traffic flow
- Minimize noise impact
- Not want vehicles coming to four ways stop and accelerating at 5:00 am
- Discourage Council from a four way stop sign
- Reevaluate whether need Hawk signal at Nelson
- Reprioritize those funds
- Expedite project for traffic signal

Daniel DeKay, Valley View Court, commented as follows:

- Speaks for neighbors
- Concern is how far back the traffic will back up
- Valley View Drive will become a by pass
- On weekends heaviest traffic
- Many cars unfamiliar with that street
- Bringing traffic through

- Putting kids at risk
- Urge Council not to go ahead with the four ways stop
- Pursue hawk signal and traffic light

Paul Menconi commented as follows:

- Discussed initial response
- LED version is overkill
- Discussed traffic signal being recommended as a long-term goal
- Pedestrian safety and flow of traffic
- Understand financial burden of cost for a traffic signal
- Any effort to seek any grant money
- Discussed funding of \$1.5 million allocated for safety of 116 corridor
- Will this necessary and inevitable expense be any more affordable as time passes and City's ability to allocate necessary funds be any better in the future
- Discussed pros and cons for Valley View residents
- Four ways stop sign is interim measure until full light can be installed

Patty Freeman commented as follows:

- Lives on southwest corner
- Lives right next to where stop sign would be
- Not like all emissions coming into bedroom window
- Think of reallocating funds for Hawk
- Stop sign not going to do it
- People will take Valley View to get away from long line of backed up traffic
- Put more money into more studies will take away from what needs to be done
- Children playing in the street will be at risk
- Four ways stop sign not work at all

Katie Sanderson commented as follows:

- Oppose four ways stop
- Like that the signs were removed
- Thanked the City for that

Ila Benavidez-Heaster commented as follows:

- Appreciate why people want to reallocate funds for a Hawk
- Had a number of severe accidents at this location
- Live it everyday
- Have residents and care takers that come daily
- Support of medical and food deliveries daily
- Have over 1000 people going in and out daily
- Police and Fire come in
- Not want to take away from Ragle and want to support it
- Worked hard to get Hawk
- Many people at risk there
- People will not cross the street
- Do not want to take – need to say we worked hard – want funds for our situation

- Little town within a town
- Have sustaining living environment
- People supported through their illnesses
- Many people are dying
- 8 this year alone
- Multiple numbers
- Cars are going in and out
- Left turn lane is horrific
- Find funds
- Not want to hold up – please do not take from the Hawk

Council Deliberations:

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed funding sources
- Questioned if there is money through the SCTA to study the issue
- Stated that would take time
- Questioned if application have been made for pedestrian safety

Manager Mikus commented that he believes the City has applied for everything that is current and will continue to look.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- As we start talking heard two other suggestions as well as the three decision points in staff's memo
- Pursue signal that would be coordinated with interim stop sign
- Go direct to signal without interim four ways stop
- Issue of reprioritizing or reallocating money

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Supervisors today approved tourism mitigation fee
- Interested in having discussion about potential for some kind of funding that we might be able to get assistance from the County
- Impacted from people coming from Santa Rosa going to Bodega
- Tourism impact starting to kick in

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Currently no funding source for traffic signal
- Budget committee could take that up
- Discussed revenue measures
- Staff took cautious approach

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned the results of the current speed survey conducted.

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Did speed surveys

- Two locations (Ragle/PH) and Bodega just west of Ragle
- Did properly based on guidelines
- Surveying free flowing traffic
- Speed limit based on original speed survey of 41 miles per hour
- This survey had 85<sup>th</sup> percentile of 39 miles per hour and 40 miles per hour
- Gave information to partner who did original survey
- Based on data looking at collision rate, 5 years of history, overall collision rate is less than what is expected for similar intersection
- Opinion it is clear leave it at 40 miles per hour

Councilmember Carnacchi commented that he thought it could be reduced an additional five miles.

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Setting to nearest five-mile hour increment
- 37 would give condition can go to 35
- Did mixing and matching – similar results

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Biggest complaint is speed
- Suggested with County that the City implement a program where just outside the City limits the County could put up message board and City could put up sign of enforcement zone ahead
- Work with Highway patrol and officers
- Stage a weekend where it is enforced
- People drop speed – at that point do speed survey – lower speed

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- What was said has merit
- More you enforce current speed limits heavy presence of police would bring speeds down a little bit
- If challenged, do not want speed surveys done while signs are up
- Would be successfully challenged if did that
- Not a normal condition
- Doing afterwards is another story

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned if the City could consider that and ultimately lower the speed limit.

Mr. Weinberger stated he could not answer that and discussed City and County enforcement.

Chief Weaver commented as follows:

- Can coordinate with Highway Patrol
- Do that when there are concerns
- They are responsive and provide staff when they can
- If attempt to have focused traffic enforcement would need to be budgeted for by the City
- Special overtime due to no staffing
- No ability to ensure put officer anywhere at any given time due to lack of staffing

- If focused, it would need to be funded outside normal day to day operations of police department
- Would need to return to Council with hours and total costs
- Council would need to assess where the money would come from
- Discussed security for movie being filmed at the high school
- Movie company pays for it

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- A lot of attention to this location
- Not normally suggest to do speed survey with memorial display there now
- However, he did do the speed survey with it there and it ended up with these results

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Share concern of speeding
- How effectively bring speed down
- Pleasant Hill to County – long sight distance -going out for fun – people in hurry
- Looks same way coming in
- Top of hill see light and stop
- Because of nature of roadway people are speeding
- Need something to interrupt that visually
- Number of ways to do that
- Discussed four ways stop
- Signs would do the same thing
- Effect of both of those to erase speed
- Which is more effective method to address concerns of noise and emissions

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Everything calls for a round about
  - Air
  - Noise
  - Visual
  - Safety
  - Everything points to that
- Concerns given grade and space available
- Much costlier project (starting at 1 million)

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- What are other choices
- What is more effective
- Discussed issues of visual, emissions, noise

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Noise and emissions – all way stop have all that
- Signal will be better for that - Not producing emissions or noise
- Visually – depends on what other things come with the stop signs
- Discussed features that could be added for enhancements

Chief Weaver commented as follows:

- Strive for consistency
- Know what signs look like everywhere
- Have uniform traffic controlled devices manual for consistency of signs
- Signs look the same to drive safely
- Discussed potential confusion

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Need to think of this as thinking more than just speed
- Terrible accident occurred
- Person not going above speed limit
- Looking at what we are doing to create a visually more recognizable environment
- Has to do with road being wide
- Pedestrians being way out and out of line of sight of drivers
- Drivers do not notice them
- Discussed bulb outs
- Not just helping the drivers' safety with slowing them down but also with driver safety by making pedestrians more visible

Councilmember Gurney discussed shrinking the intersection.

Mayor Glass stated that shrinking the intersection causes slower traffic and also causes people see pedestrians.

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed the design of the entire corridor not just intersection
- Overall width of corridor
- That is the problem
- Design of road way is too wide for traffic speeds that we would like to see
- Can do something of aesthetics of corridor
- Cannot do something about the volume
- Discussed tree lined median strip

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- What designing now is designed for pedestrian safety
- Adding median on west leg of Bodega
- Can add 8 foot wide median
- Carry that suggestion further – have room on east of intersection
- Will have to investigate further a tree lined median strip

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Removing turn lane at Dutton
- Installing landscape medium

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Refuge median installed within center turn lane just east of Washington intersection

- Ragle is different
- Keeping turn lanes at Ragle

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Seeing strips that runs west to City limit if space for median and trees
- Reducing scale of road

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Would need investigation
- Interesting concept

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Realized stretch from Ragle to Atascadero bridge that things can be done there
- However it is beyond what can be afforded
- Great location for Class 1 bike path

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed hearing the concerns of the neighbors
- Originally, he thought he would be in favor of four way stop
- Heard what everyone had to say
- Changed mind
- Continuing design for RRFB
- Thinking of hardscape improvements that lend self to ultimate goal of traffic signal

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Stated the four ways stop proposal does not include bulb out
- Does include a median strip
- Have funding to pay for RRFB and median strip only

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- In favor of looking at CIP and General fund and see what it costs and what funding sources might be available
- Nothing is cheaper to build than it is right now
- Helps pedestrian safety now rather than later

Councilmember Gurney questioned the cost for a RRFB.

Manager Mikus stated it is \$34,000.

Councilmember Gurney stated that does not include bulb outs.

Manager Mikus stated that is correct.

Vice Mayor Slayter questioned if the RRFB and equipment is relocatable to some other place in town.

Mr. Weinberger discussed the equipment and infrastructure needed.

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Discussed putting in a Hawk
- Discussed putting in a traffic signal
- Save money and get signal

Councilmember Hinton discussed relocating the Hawk.

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Stated that would be difficult to relocate
- Sign is a sign
- Hawk device contains certain size mass arm
- Discussed size of foundation

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed if the mast and arm at the Cemetery could move to Ragle/Bodega
- Cotati – Crosswalks and yellow pedestrian signs
- How long do those last
- Is it difficult to move mast/arm
- Turn into crosswalk warning light

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- System that is being installed, pedestrian crossing signs with RRFB
- Investigated mass arm at Nelson location
- Not in conflict with message of Hawk
- No evaluation of that device whether it can be retrofitted

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Designed for that location
- Single lane
- Small pole

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Asking staff what cost would be to proceed with what have planned now (RRFB) and add in a bulb out that is designed to be compatible with the City moving to the traffic signal
- Adding what that cost would be to the project

Councilmember Gurney discussed having staff come back with some kind of drawing or information about what those improvements would look like and cost in addition to the \$33,000 tonight.

Mayor Glass stated that is the intent and doing this in combination with the flashing RRFB.

Mr. Weinberger commented as follows:

- Designing a median as part of this
- Pursue extended median as well with trees

Mayor Glass commented as follows:



- Discussed staff coming back with the following stages/costs:
  - Incremental
  - Least is this
  - Add in this
  - Will cost more if add in this
  - Budget committee can review the CIP

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Working on the RFP now
- In que for design
- May not change
- Keep going with that
- Come back as soon as possible with information of bulb outs and median and what cost
- Keep going with what already on track for
- Consider future alternatives

Councilmember Hinton stated she is hooked on the roundabout idea and would like to get the County involved.

Mayor Glass stated a meeting with the County is in order.

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Traffic kitty is back up and running
- Requested moving the traffic kitty there
- Can do that right away
- That does slow down traffic

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Idea is to keep going with RRFB (Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon); light assisted safe crosswalk that gets people from Valley View or Ragle
- Iterations with measures to shrink intersection
- Less cost to more expensive
- How long take to do these items
- Important for neighborhood to know the timeline

Mr. Weinberger commented that for the estimated costs he could return to the next City Council meeting.

Mayor Glass stated the costs is what is needed.

Mr. Weinberger stated if the Council needed a design it would take more time.

Councilmember Gurney suggested a cost estimate even if it is not designed out.

Mr. Weinberger stated to be accurate it should have a design but he can get a figure in the ball park.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Think long term
- Protect the neighborhoods
- Embrace those neighborhoods
- Look like part of City
- Add on coordinate with construction of signal

The council was in consensus to continue with the current course of action, which is to design then install a “Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon” (RRFB) crosswalk flashing light warning system together with a center median strip and pedestrian refuge spot. In addition, Council asked staff to return as soon as possible with added information, particularly cost estimates, for adding pedestrian bulb outs and narrow the Bodega Avenue vehicular path of travel.

City Council Action: The council was in consensus to continue with the current course of action, which is to design then install a “Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon” (RRFB) crosswalk flashing light warning system together with a center median strip and pedestrian refuge spot. In addition, Council asked staff to return as soon as possible with added information, particularly cost estimates, for adding pedestrian bulb outs and narrow the Bodega Avenue vehicular path of travel.

Minute Order Number: 2017-192

Mayor Glass called for a break at 8:20 pm and reconvened the meeting at 8:32 p.m.

8. Consideration of Funding Request from Sonoma County Community Foundation for the Sonoma County Secure Families Fund (\$10,000 for three years) (Mayor Glass/City Administration)

Mayor Glass presented the item recommending the City Council discuss the Funding Request from Sonoma County Community Foundation for the Sonoma County Secure Families Fund (\$10,000 for three years – total \$30,000).

Bruce Goldstein, County Counsel, provided a presentation to the City Council.

Mayor Glass asked for questions of Mr. Goldstein.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Sorry missed presentation at Mayors and Councilmembers
- Questioned if whatever project that is designed for the future going to be housed /supervised through the County Counsel

Mr. Goldstein replied as follows:

- Stated no
- Stated the idea is that the Community Foundation would have sufficient resources for Request for Proposals
- Stated the monitoring of the grant will be by the Community Foundation
- County Counsel vested in this but it is not a County project

Councilmember Gurney questioned if the concept is that someone answering the RFP will provide some organizational structure.

Mr. Goldstein replied as follows:

- Stated yes
- Part of goal is to put it out there
- One model that was discussed that are sophisticated in provided these services
- An organization to open a satellite office up here
- Collaborate with non-profits to provide other services
- Have ability to train and supervise volunteer attorneys
- All happens in San Francisco
- One lead organization that is established and has track record
- Subcontract with other local non-profits

Mayor Glass questioned who would provide advice on funding and questioned if there would be a group of people that are advisers to the fund and how is this structured.

Mr. Goldstein commented as follows:

- To get off ground, working closely with foundation
- County Counsel listed as fund representatives
- Board designated to act on behalf of County
- Advisory committee
- Discussed members
- Keep City informed of what is happening
- Difficult to make three-year commitment
- Make this year and say if program successful Council would give consideration in future cycles
- Allows to move forward

Vice Mayor Slayter questioned how many attorneys in the County are willing to provide services.

Mr. Goldstein commented as follows:

- Discussed attorneys and legal assistants
- Over 50 people
- None have immigration law experience
- Willing to help people
- Are resources there
- Discussed deportation defense
- Role for volunteer lawyers
- Need expertise as well

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Sees job seeking ways for young new attorneys
- Field that is growing
- Opportunity there

Mr. Goldstein commented as follows:

- Other entities taken this approach – set aside to fund non-profits
- Real demand for immigration lawyers
- Found there are not a lot of organizations that have that expertise and provide those services

- State making funds available for deportation defense
- Need certain level of experience/expertise
- Looking at bringing someone up to qualify for State money

Mayor Glass opened for public comment.

Susan Shaw, North Bay Organizing Project,

- Speak in support of City investing fund
- City standing with rest of County
- She sites every day with people who are terrified
- People are not going out of their houses
- Discussed statistics
- Human face to this
- This is us
- Push on City to invest \$10,000
- 100 people in Sebastopol trained as legal observers
- Oct 12<sup>th</sup> 50 organizations launching hot line
- Operate 24 hours a day
- Trained legal observers to watch what is going on
- Film and take notes
- Information go to central legal team who is looking at the unconstitutionality of actions of ICE
- Information available to attorney for deportation defense
- Discussed representation
- Ask to allocate money

Ann, President of North Bay Organizing Project, commented as follows:

- Honorary Sebastopolian
- Spend a lot of time here
- Speak on behalf of organization
- Urge to make investment
- Stand with immigrant community
- People are being sought after
- Persecution
- Not about legal status of human being
- About skin color of human being
- Time to put action behind words
- We are Invisible
- Put funds to protect people into his community that are afraid every day
- Discussed what happens to children and families when someone knocks at their door
- Immigrants are not people that look like her but look like everyone
- Caution of using the word illegal
- Crossing border or being here without proper documentation is not a crime
- Protecting community members that have not committed a crime
- Many forced to come to country
- Make investment

- Stand with Sonoma County
- Look to Sebastopol to make radical decisions and make bold moves

Council Deliberations:

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- This is a human issue
- This is also an economic issue
- Discussed article in Press Democrat
- 200 employees at large health care organization are DACA workers
- Here under the DACA program
- Discussed withdrawal of that program
- What will happen to health care when they lose 200 workers in major health care institution
- 180 DACA individuals at Sonoma State University
- Tragedy to have them removed from our community
- 2500 undocumented K-12 students in Sonoma County
- How have successful education program
- Discussed mixed families
- Hard to have a good outcome under that type of stress
- Constituents and residents of Sebastopol have felt strongly in supporting immigrant community
- Stated she us an immigrant
- Find discouraging and annoying the kind of welcome that was offered to her is not offered now
- Find problematic
- Go back to that standard of welcoming immigrants to the community
- Hoping the Council will agree it is worthwhile to support providing this kind of financial support to this initiative
- How many DACA and undocumented workers in the City
- What would happen if half the restaurants in town lose their employees
- Bad for our economy
- What if we lose our Health Care workers

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Believes the DACA legislation will move through quickly
- May get something accomplished with that in a bipartisan way
- In his experience, he sees a lot of people on the street that are young and need help and could use that money
- Help the people that are here in our community
- Hesitant of this commitment
- Spend the money locally in better way

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Fully support immigrant community
- Manage senior care facility
- Staff are from other counties
- Weigh in on \$10,000
- Comfortable once seminars are up and running and have seminars be held in the City

- Support the organization that way
- Concerned of fundraising to date
- \$10,000 a lot for City
- See the City supporting a seminar where their staff comes in and holds classes and people receive information
- Support publicizing these seminars

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Has run a non-profit
- Engaged in a lot of non- profit activities
- To make things happen need money
- Need money to coordinate
- Seeing fund as going to be putting these services together

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Jump start effort bigger than Sebastopol
- County wide concern and greater
- Hard to perceive boundaries around this money
- Issues compel City to be that broadly coordinated
- Heart compels us to think this widely
- Makes more sense to commit to \$30000 rather than spread over 3 years
- Do that all at once and do that now
- See if by our leadership we can compel other cities to be so bold and put in their monies
- If we hesitate and waffle we are backing away from people we need to embrace and take care of
- Help others to erase those boundaries
- Look at issue of social justice that is bigger than population

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Interesting thought of being bold
- Like idea but looking at it from fiscal responsibility
- Discussed previous agenda item of infrastructure
- Needs to be balanced
- Tenure on Council taught him how to compromise with own values and external issues
- Comfortable with supporting this
- \$1.25 per resident per year comfortable devoting the City funds towards this
- Long term fund raising goal is logical
- Provides for stepped investment in this for small City
- Economic standpoint – not economist – difficult to imagine the City of Sebastopol does not see \$10,000 from the City for a program that is designed to help
- Support for the initial investment of \$10,000 for the first year
- See what happens in year two and three
- May be bold in year two
- Community Benefit Grants program – adopted formal policy stating goal of 1 percent of our annual budget for community benefit grant
- Underneath that 1 percent in recent history
- This is where this fits in the budget

- Considering something of this magnitude outside the regular budget cycle is irregular
- Difficult to budget single line items out of the larger context
- Comfortable with initial first year ask

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Putting our money where our mouth is
- People in Sebastopol upset about Washington and ICE
- Can complain and talk of how terrible they are or we can do something about it

Councilmember Gurney moved and Vice Mayor Slayter seconded the motion to approve \$10,000 in the first year and that the second and third year are subject to review of the program on an annual basis.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Gurney, Vice Mayor Slayter and Mayor Glass

Noes: Councilmembers Carnacchi and Hinton

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved \$10,000 in the first year and that the second and third year are subject to review of the program on an annual basis.

Minute Order Number: 2017-193

### 3. Approval of Incremental Replacement Policy for Main St. Street Trees (Planning Director)

Planning Director Webster presented the staff report recommending the City Council approve the resolution for Incremental Replacement Policy for Main St. Street Trees.

Mayor Glass asked for questions of staff.

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed the replacement recommendations
- Discussed \$150.00 cost per replacement tree
- Questioned if it is the idea to wait to replace all the trees
- Would like to see a recommendation to have a more aggressive replacement policy
- Discussed staff time and replacement tree costs
- Look at these items and put the replacement of all trees on a fast track
- Not want to wait until the tree is diseased or hit
- Also know it damages crosswalks
- Suggested replacing those trees first

Public Works Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Discussed the Caltrans project that is slated for later this spring
- Impact on downtown
- Discussion felt that the project would not affect many trees
- Realistic that there will probably be street trees that would be affected
- Also, budget item approved in the CIP for this year puts funds towards the replacement of trees

Councilmember Hinton questioned if this is just for the trees on Main Street or will it follow Whole Foods and Downtown Plaza as well.

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Plaza trees identified as additional trees that could be looked in to for replacement
- Discussed not replacing them necessarily with this same tree
- Start incrementally towards North Main Street
- Trees are more damaged
- Trees more susceptible to vehicle accidents
- Good place to start
- Outside scope of Caltrans work
- Have look like it is a themed planting
- Progression through downtown

Councilmember Hinton questioned if staff has a plan to do replacements in sections.

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Intent is to do it in sections
- Discussed current plantings and locations
- Discussed: benches, brick areas, trees, and to carry that theme through the downtown
- If damaged not replace one tree with pear
- Intent if replaced one, it makes sense to replace groups of two at that time

Councilmember Hinton questioned if that plan is happening this year.

Superintendent Del Prete commented that if the species is approved tonight, the first section will be by Safeway and will be done this fall.

Councilmember Hinton questioned would it help to move this forward if more funds are available.

Superintendent Del Prete commented that he will be seeking funds in next year's budget to continue this project.

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Stated the pear trees which were recommended by a past consultant who indicated those were wonderful trees
- Wondering if it makes more sense to stagger replacement or replace all
- Have short trees now
- Take 5-10-years to feel substantial
- Work better to intersperse things now and not get the feeling of nudity

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Could look into an implementation plan
- Do in clusters to look like theme approach
- Discussed mistletoe that attacks trees under stress
- Street trees under stress



- Discussed grates placed around street trees

Councilmember Gurney questioned if the replacement plan could include changing out the trees in the plaza.

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Can look into different varieties
- Looking at Main Street – can continue the theme from South Main Street and follow Main Street
- Trees could be looked at in the plaza
- Discussed seeking recommendations from the City Arborist

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Sebastopol Ave and Bodega
- Core area
- Needs to be spruced up

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Trees in several areas
- Some have had better look
- City hall street trees significant sun damage
- Replacing those in future
- Focusing on Main Street

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- According to description of tree, tree is used for screening for privacy
- Main Street – concern of businesses that the businesses will not be seen by these trees that will have a big flowering
- Concern
- Questioned if there was any thought to other species

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Trees now do lose their leaves
- Drastic pruning in years past
- Received complaints of that pruning
- Balancing act for types of trees
- Also, budget item approved in the CIP for this year puts funds towards the replacement of trees
- Do the best we can to accommodate store frontage

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned if this is the best tree for downtown Main Street.

Superintendent Del Prete stated, in his opinion, it is a great choice.

Planning Director Webster concurred with that.

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Okay with tree proposed

- Would like to see a replacement policy
- Interested in having a replacement tree policy for the plaza
- Great to replace some of those that are in trouble on the plaza without doing them all at once
- All committed to making the plaza look better

Superintendent Del Prete commented as follows:

- Discussed different varieties
- Discussed lawn and irrigation type as well

Mayor Glass opened for public comment.

Ila Benavidez-Heaster commented as follows:

- Support this idea
- Stated she was at the Design Review Board Meeting when this was discussed
- Stated extensive time was spent going over this
- Concern of mistletoe
- Voted this material
- Like plan that she is hearing
- Like what Dante Del Prete is looking at
- Go for it

Council deliberations:

Vice Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Is in agreement with this choice
- Wonderful decision
- Trust landscape architect to recommend good species
- Replacement schedule - when the budget was going on – when this was presented and had tree replacement in there – remember thinking wonderful – need to do this
- Explained multi year process
- First year tree replacement
- Substantial
- Agree we need to look at the design of the plaza and not just landscaping
- Hardscape is problematic
- Looking at refresh of town plaza soon
- In favor of tree

Vice Mayor Slayter moved and Councilmember Gurney seconded the motion to Slayter moved and Councilmember Gurney seconded the motion to approve the resolution for Incremental Replacement Policy for Main St. Street Trees.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Slayter and Mayor Glass

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved resolution for Incremental Replacement Policy for Main St. Street Trees.

Minute Order Number: 2017-188  
Resolution Number: 6159

2. Approval of Update to the City Council Policy Number 5 - City Council Policy for Agenda Preparation and Rescinding of Resolution Number 4596, Resolution Adopting and Establishing Rules for the Conduct of Its Meetings, Proceedings and Business and Adoption of Attached Resolution (Agenda Review Committee)

Councilmember Carnacchi discussed public comment on proclamations and questioned if we wanted a policy on that that it is okay for a public member to speak on a proclamation.

The Mayor stated it is at the Mayor's discretion.

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned if the Council wanted to add a bullet point to add that this would be at the Mayor's discretion to accept or deny any public comment on a proclamation.

Councilmember Gurney stated it is inherent to the office of Mayor to have this discretion.

City Manager/Attorney McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Mayor correct when advised it is within the Mayor's discretion
- Stated it is not the type of item that the public has a right to speak on
- Stated a proclamation is ceremonial and not considered an agenda item
- Stated the Mayor can allow a member of the public to speak on a proclamation
- Advises against putting this in writing
- Stated it would infer a right to speak on every occasion
- Supporting leaving it to Mayors discretion

The Council discussed the consent calendar.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Stated these are routine items and do not need further discussion if possible
- Stated some questions can be addressed of staff ahead of the meeting for clarification
- Discussed is it up to the Councilmember whether or not it is a political or significant item to pull
- Stated informational questions do not rise to the level of that significant
- Discussed the purpose of the consent calendar

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Questioned if he has a question or comment on the consent calendar when can it be asked
- Questioned when would an item be pulled
- Important to hear what the public or colleague has to say on an item
- Questioned when is a matter discussed if it does not need a full discussion

Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Discussed the public comment portion of the consent calendar
- Explained the proposed process

Mayor Glass opened for public comment.

ila Benavidez-Heaster commented as follows:

- Questioned if a volunteer could assist with live streaming to allow public comment during a meeting from the audience from the live video
- Questioned if this could be done

Mayor Glass stated that there could be legal issues with using a volunteer.

City Manager/Attorney McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed potential legal issues
- Discussed the Brown Act
- Discussed technology
- Stated staff will look into this

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Stated she would like to know if other cities allow this.
- Concern with reading of comments and interpretation of comments
- Stated this would be an opportunity for misinterpretation of comments

Vice Mayor Slayter moved and Councilmember Gurney second the motion approved Amendment to City Council Policy Number 5 - City Council Policy for Agenda Preparation and Rescinding of Resolution Number 4596, Resolution Adopting and Establishing Rules for the Conduct of Its Meetings, Proceedings and Business and Adoption of Attached Resolution.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Slayter and Mayor Glass

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Amendment to City Council Policy Number 5 - City Council Policy for Agenda Preparation and Rescinding of Resolution Number 4596, Resolution Adopting and Establishing Rules for the Conduct of Its Meetings, Proceedings and Business and Adoption of Attached Resolution.

Minute Order Number: 2017-187

Resolution Number: 6158

**CITY COUNCIL REPORTS:**

9. City Manager-Attorney/City Clerk Reports:

- Reminder Mayors and Councilmembers Dinner/Meeting, Thursday, October 12, 2017 at 6:00 pm at the Legends at Bennett Valley
- Monday October 9<sup>th</sup>, City Administration Offices will be closed due to holiday

10. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards):

Councilmember Hinton reported as follows:

- Attended the Legislative Committee of the League of CA cities
- Two main items: SB 649
- Is on the Governor's Desk
- Moving forward to subcommittee to come up with legislator of the year program for North Bay - Plan to start next year - Will be doing a test run prior to rolling it out
  
- Attended quarterly Russian River Water shed meeting
- A lot of discussion about Safe Medicine Disposal Ordinance
- Split vote
- Letters make a difference
- Sharp containers
- Discussed we are in support
- Most cities in favor as well
- Draft letter from Russian River
- Grant money for storm water programs
- Henry is on it will let us know about that
- National Drug Prescription Take Back Day Oct 28h
- Overwhelmed last year
- Ran out of containers
- Ordinance important
- 58.5 pounds of trash per person
- Trash clean up
- Property owners along river that will have to move their septic
- Discussed outreach and emergency planning
- Discussed Cittaslow fundraising event - October 20<sup>th</sup>

Councilmember Gurney stated this is one of the projects that evolved from the Mayor's Non-Profit Breakfast.

Mayor Glass reported as follows:

- Discussed agendizing a staff report to look at our disaster preparedness and at our CERT program especially given emergency situations seen elsewhere
- Went to presentation by City Manager who was in San Bruno (gas explosion)
- Discussed review of our emergency response program
- Will ask agenda review committee to schedule this as an agenda item

Vice Mayor Slayter reported as follows:

- SWF – Ukrainians
- Community presentation tomorrow at church
- Make presentation to the community
- Open to public
- Senior center getting around to cleaning basement
- Chamber is painting inside of building
- Sonoma Clean Power meeting this Thursday

Councilmember Gurney reported as follows:

- Parks summit - Sep 21<sup>st</sup>
- Know how they are looking at their goals and objectives
- Questioned if anyone attended

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Did not attend the meeting but did receive information
- Discussed the tax measure and how it is structured
- Does have a report that he will send out to the Council

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed asking the Regional Parks for a porta pottie half way between Sebastopol and Santa Rosa
- Received letter from Senior Center on their fiscal circumstances
- Discussed them crediting the City for their help
- Discussed their increasing membership rate
- Asking non-members to pay \$5 00 per use
- Seeing evidence that they are working hard to improve finances and are taking new measures to do that

Vice Mayor Slayter stated there is a scholarship for anyone who cannot afford the dues.

Councilmember Gurney reported as follows:

- Pleased to see City Council and City acknowledged
- Discussed the Cement Plant property subcommittee and stated they had met
- Discussed the history of the City's interest in this real estate
- Shared ideas
- Open to interest and input
- Article in Issues and Trends
- Public Private partnership
- Millennials and relationship to transit
- Read a few points
- 83 million people born between 1982 and 2003
- Rejecting cars as way of life and transportation
- Their shift in behavior notion in transit world we have to re think how we deal with transit issues
- Provide for that generation
- Creating economic vitality in community
- Interested in living in community that is walkable and livable
- Mobile transportation
- Transportation that will address time, money and efficiency
- Rejecting things that are costing a lot of money
- Technology changed job situation
- Not same need to be physically present
- Telecommuting
- Changing behavior
- Discussed parking structure in Ann Arbor Michigan

Mayor Glass reported she will be attending the Sonoma Marin Mosquito Abatement District meeting next week.

11. Council Communications Received: None
12. Future City Meeting Dates/Events (Informational Only): (See Agenda and City Web Site)

Mayor Glass adjourned the regular City Council meeting at 10:12 p.m. to the Closed Session.

CLOSED SESSION:

**CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Glass called the closed session meeting to order at 10:18 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:**

Present: Mayor Glass  
Vice Mayor Slayter  
Councilmember Carnacchi  
Councilmember Gurney  
Councilmember Hinton

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin  
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley

**PUBLIC COMMENT** (Prior to adjournment into Closed Session, the public may speak up to 3 minutes on items to be addressed in Closed Session). There were none.

- \*13. **CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION.**  
Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d) (2) of Government Code §54956.9:  
(one potential case)  
Minute Order Number: 2017-194

**Adjournment of Closed Session:** Mayor Glass adjourned the Closed Session at 10: 20 p.m. to the Regular City Council Meeting.

**Reconvene Regular City Council Meeting:** Mayor Glass reconvened the regular meeting at 11:30 p.m.

**Report out of Closed Session:** There was no report out.

**ADJOURNMENT OF REGULAR MEETING:** Mayor Glass adjourned the Regular City Council Meeting at 11:31 pm to the next Regular City Council Meeting which will be held on Tuesday October 17, 2017, at 6:00 pm, at the Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
Mary Gourley  
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk, MMC